



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in COVID-19 hotspots

Published Online

July 6, 2020

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31482-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31482-3)

See [Articles](#) page 535

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has surprised the world with its range of disease manifestations, from asymptomatic infection to critical illness leading to hospital admission and death.^{1,2} Due to the high proportion of asymptomatic or mild infections (approximately 80%), data restricted to laboratory-confirmed cases do not capture the true extent of the spread or burden of the virus, or its infection-fatality ratio.² Therefore, serological detection of specific antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 can better estimate the true number of infections. Due to co-circulation of other human coronaviruses, serology for SARS-CoV-2 is not trivial. Antibody cross-reactivity with other human coronaviruses has been largely overcome by using selected viral antigens, and several commercial assays are now available for SARS-CoV-2 serology. However, despite high sensitivity and specificity, a setting with a low pretest probability, such as current population-based seroprevalence studies, warrants careful validation of results.³ Extensive previous assay validation in well characterised serum samples and confirmation of positive results are thus necessary to prevent false-positive findings from confounding seroprevalence rates.

The first SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence studies from cohorts representing the general population have become available from COVID-19 hotspots such as China, the USA, Switzerland, and Spain.⁴⁻⁸ In *The Lancet*, Marina Pollán and colleagues⁶ and Silvia Stringhini and colleagues⁷ separately report representative population-based seroprevalence

data from Spain and Switzerland collected from April to early May this year. Studies were done in both the severely affected urban area of Geneva, Switzerland, and the whole of Spain, capturing both strongly and less affected provinces. Both studies recruited randomly selected participants but excluded institutionalised populations (ie, permanent residents of institutions such as prisons or care homes, as well as hospitalised residents), which is a clear limitation. They relied on IgG as a marker for previous exposure, which was detected by two assays for confirmation of positive results.

The Spanish study,⁶ which included more than 60 000 participants, showed a nationwide seroprevalence of 5.0% (95% CI 4.7–5.4; specificity-sensitivity range of 3.7% [both tests positive] to 6.2% [at least one test positive]), with urban areas around Madrid exceeding 10% (eg, seroprevalence by immunoassay in Cuenca of 13.6% [95% CI 10.2–17.8]). These differences in seroprevalence are also reflected in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases, which were much higher in urban areas than in rural areas. Similar numbers were obtained across the 2766 participants in the Swiss study,⁷ with seroprevalence data from Geneva reaching 10.8% (8.2–13.9) in early May. The rather low seroprevalence in COVID-19 hotspots in both studies is in line with data from Wuhan, the epicentre and presumed origin of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Surprisingly, the study done in Wuhan approximately 4–8 weeks after the peak of infection reported a low seroprevalence of 3.8% (2.6–5.4) even in highly exposed health-care workers, despite an overwhelmed health-care system.⁴ None of the studies reported sex differences, and both the studies from Geneva and Spain reported lower seroprevalence in children than in adults.^{6,7} Whether this reflects a lower susceptibility of children to infection in general, or rather that the studies were undertaken while schools and day-care centres were closed, remains to be elucidated.

The key finding from these representative cohorts is that most of the population appears to have remained unexposed to SARS-CoV-2, even in areas with widespread virus circulation. These findings are further supported by the observation that even countries without strict lockdown measures have reported similarly low seroprevalence—eg, Sweden, which



David Benito/Getty Images

reported a prevalence of 7·3% at the end of April—leaving them far from reaching natural herd immunity in the population.⁹

Such seroprevalence studies provide information only about previous exposure, rather than immunity, as no neutralising antibodies are measured. Since no correlate of protection for SARS-CoV-2 has been formally defined, we do not know what titre of neutralising antibodies would protect recovered patients from secondary infection or if non-neutralising antibodies could also contribute to protection. By analogy to common-cold coronaviruses, immunity after SARS-CoV-2 infection is thought to be incomplete and temporary, lasting only several months to a few years.^{10,11} A subset of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 cases shows a lower antibody response and titres that wane quickly.¹² It is unknown whether these patients are protected by other immune functions, such as cellular immunity. In summary, such individuals would not be detected by serological assays but might confound the true exposure rate.

In light of these findings, any proposed approach to achieve herd immunity through natural infection is not only highly unethical, but also unachievable. With a large majority of the population being infection naive, virus circulation can quickly return to early pandemic dimensions in a second wave once measures are lifted. In addition, the geographical variability and the dynamic of weekly increasing seroprevalence rates during the early phase of the pandemic highlight that these studies are only snapshots in time and space, and reflect the circumstances of the period in which they were done. As we are still in the midst of an unprecedented global health crisis, such seroprevalence data will continue to be necessary for public health authorities to estimate exposure rates, especially in areas with little testing capacity for

acute cases. If and when a vaccine is widely available, ongoing seroprevalence studies will be able to provide information about the extent and duration of vaccine-induced herd immunity.

We declare no competing interests.

*Isabella Eckerle, Benjamin Meyer
isabella.eckerle@hcuge.ch

Geneva Centre for Emerging Viral Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland (IE); and Centre for Vaccinology, Department of Pathology and Immunology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland (BM)

- 1 Vetter P, Eckerle I, Kaiser L. Covid-19: a puzzle with many missing pieces. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m627.
- 2 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 24. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2648>.
- 3 Meyer B, Torriani G, Yerly S, et al. Validation of a commercially available SARS-CoV-2 serological immunoassay. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; published online June 27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.06.024>.
- 4 Xu X, Sun J, Nie S, et al. Seroprevalence of immunoglobulin M and G antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in China. *Nat Med* 2020; published online June 5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0949-6>.
- 5 Sood N, Simon P, Ebner P, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies among adults in Los Angeles county, California, on April 10–11, 2020. *JAMA* 2020; **323**: 2425–27.
- 6 Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological study. *Lancet* 2020; published online July 6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31483-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31483-5).
- 7 Stringhini S, Wisniak A, Piumatti G, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. *Lancet* 2020; published online June 11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31304-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31304-0).
- 8 Ng D, Goldof G, Shy B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and neutralizing activity in donor and patient blood from the San Francisco Bay Area. *medRxiv* 2020; published online May 27. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.19.20107482> (preprint).
- 9 Public Health Agency Sweden. Första resultaten från pågående undersökning av antikroppar för covid-19-virus. May 20, 2020. <https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/nyheter-och-press/nyhetsarkiv/2020/maj/forsta-resultaten-fran-pagaende-undersokning-av-antikroppar-for-covid-19-virus> (accessed June 24, 2020).
- 10 Callow KA, Parry HF, Sergeant M, Tyrrell DA. The time course of the immune response to experimental coronavirus infection of man. *Epidemiol Infect* 1990; **105**: 435–46.
- 11 Reed SE. The behaviour of recent isolates of human respiratory coronavirus in vitro and in volunteers: evidence of heterogeneity among 229E-related strains. *J Med Virol* 1984; **13**: 179–92.
- 12 Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nat Med* 2020; published online June 18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0965-6>.

In sepsis-induced heart failure, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation can provide support



Sepsis is defined as a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection.¹ Septic shock occurs when serum lactate is elevated and vasopressor medication is required despite

adequate fluid resuscitation.² Many cardiovascular features of septic shock exist, including tachycardia, alterations in vascular tone, and reduced ventricular function. Haemodynamic patterns differ with age,

See [Articles](#) page 545